

Pupil Premium Strategy Statement Spring 2019 - 2020

The pupil premium is additional government funding for pupils who are eligible for free school meals (FSM) as of the January Census, or at any time in the previous six years, as well as pupils who have been looked after continuously for at least six months. In 2019 the amount is £1,320 or £2,300 for looked after children.

The school uses the funds for additional provision which aims to support the attainment for the most vulnerable pupils. These include interventions and targeted support with teachers and teaching assistants, emotional and social support through family outreach, pastoral mentoring, drawing and talking, small group forest school intervention, and additional psychologist hours, as well as financial support for school trips, residential trips and swimming.

NB* the data in this document was updated in September 2019 to include end of year data for the 2018-19 period.

1. Summary information

Total number of pupils on roll (R-Y6)as of March 2019	409
Total number of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium (including service child	46
& 3 post looked after children) as of March 2019	
Projected total number of pupils eligible for Pupil Premium (including	33
service child & 3 post looked after children) as of September 2019	
% of pupils on pupil premium	11.2 %
% of pupils on non - pupil premium	88.8 %
Total amount of Pupil Premium received for 2018/19 (£98100 estimated)	£95113
	(actual)
Total amount of Pupil Premium spent for 2018/19	£98637
Projected total income for 2019/20 as of March 2019	£60720
Projected total income for 2019/20 incorporating adjustments for	£49280
reduction in September	
Current projected total spend for 2019/20 (estimated)	£51151.95
Date of next review	April 2020



2. Current attainment – based on 2018/19 end of

year performance data

	Pupils eligible for PPG (Holy Trinity)	Pupils not eligible for PPG (Holy Trinity)	Pupils not eligible for PPG (national average)
% achieving in reading	71%	95%	77%
% achieving in in writing	71%	93%	81%
% achieving in maths	92%	96%	80%

3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PPG, including high ability)

In-s skills,	chool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language
A	Quality First Teaching for PPG pupils is evident in school but access to additional groups and interventions is inconsistent. SEN PPG pupils are doing better than the non-SEN PPG group – they have consistent level of intervention and support.
В	Aspirational and personal targets for able PPG pupils are set, but not consistently followed up and evaluated across the school.
С	PPG pupils are identified as having poorer oral language skills – which impacts reading and writing.
D	PPG pupils are identified as needing more support socially and emotionally to be ready to learn and demonstrate poorer emotional literacy skills.
	rnal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low ndance rates)
Е	Engagement of PPG parents with their children's class teacher to participate and be involved in their child's learning is lower than the non-PPG group attendance

4. Desired outcomes

	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
Α	Increased progress for all SEN and Non-SEN PPG	PPG pupils make as much progress or better than their peers in Reading and Writing Nationally and in Reading, Writing and Maths as calculated



	pupils in reading, writing and maths	using the criteria in Holy Trinity CE Primary School Assessment Policy.
В	Higher rates of progress across KS2 for high attaining pupils eligible for PPG.	Pupils eligible for PPG identified as high ability make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified as high ability, across Key Stage 1 and 2 in maths, reading and writing.
С	PPG Pupils improve oral language skills and vocabulary	Pupils will make as much progress or better in oral language and vocabulary skills. This will positively impact reading and writing progress.
D	Develop emotional literacy for PPG pupils to ensure they are ready to learn	Social and emotional barriers to learning for PPG pupils are reduced and the PPG pupils are able to access the learning across the curriculum enable them to make accelerated progress
E	Higher level of engagement of parents with PPG pupils	Parent engagement where 95% of parents with pupils eligible for PPG pupils have a structured conversation in a parent teacher meeting with their child class teacher.

5. Planned Actions and Expenditure 2019 - 20

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

Desired Outcome A	Increased progress for all PPG pupils in reading, writing and maths	
Chosen action and approach	The progress and attainment of the vulnerable children is closely monitored and interventions are planned and delivered to support these pupils where appropriate. Training for teachers to develop their strategies to use within the classroom to accelerate the progress of PPG pupils. Funding staff members to attend appropriate training.	
	Teachers and TAS/LSAs are observed and receive prompt feedback to ensure that Quality First Teaching is provided throughout the school following on from the training.	
	Extra interventions in KS1 for literacy. Teaching assistant in each classroom	



	Equality of opportunity in regard to extra-curricular learning - PPG pupils given support for trips				
Evidence and rationale	PPG pupils are not making sufficient progress in comparison to their peers. The Sutton Trust research provides evidence that Quality First Teaching has a positive impact of the attainment of PPG pupils.				
	Some studies suggest that greateacher, more sustained engage or work which is more closely makeds to greater progress. (Education - EEF)	gement in smaller groups, atched to learners' needs			
	Specific support and informatic and this is used to support the pabove the allocated EP time as support the PPG pupils.	oupils. This is over and			
Implementation	Pupil progress meetings and appraisal meetings Evaluation cycle Observations of lessons with a focus on the progress of PPG pupils, book looks with a PPG focus				
	School Admin team familiar wit				
Staff leading	processes for trips and residenti Head, Deputy, Inclusion Manag				
oran reading	Troda, Bopory, meiosion manag	gor der roam			
	School Admin team				
Review of	Termly				
implementation					
Expenditure	FUOD II 100	010040			
	EHCP pathway – LSAs x 1	£18840			
Additional EP time	dii	£6210			
I -	d swimming support for PPG	£3852.5			
pupils Sub-total budget of bud	geted cost	£28902.5			
JUD-IOIGI DUGGEI OI DUG	geled Cosi	&ZU/UZ.U			
Desired Outcome B	Higher rates of progress across pupils eligible for PPG.	KS2 for high attaining			
Chosen action and approach	Teachers and TAs/LSAs are observed and receive prompt feedback to ensure that Quality First Teaching is				



	1					
	provided throughout the school follo training.	owing on from the				
	Extra opportunities for those with poor access to ICT at home					
	Maths club offered for PPG KS2 pupils					
	Targeted support for KS2 pupils to e	extend cultural capital				
Evidence and	PPG pupils are not making sufficient					
rationale	in comparison to their peers. The Su	. 0				
1 311 311 311 311 311 311 311 311 311 3	provides evidence that Quality First Teaching has a					
	positive impact of the attainment of	_				
		1110 рорпо.				
Implementation	Pupil progress meetings and apprais	sal meetings				
	Evaluation cycle					
	Observations of lessons with a focus	on the progress of				
	PPG pupils, book looks with a PPG fo	ocus				
	Prior and finishing assessment datas	shows that progress				
	has been made.					
	Teachers planning and class pupil p	rogress document				
	indicates a clear understanding of t	he needs of PPG				
	pupils					
	PPG pupils making progress in line with or better than					
	peers as shown on Target Tracker					
Staff leading	Inclusion Manager, Maths Lead and	I SLT				
Review of	Termly					
implementation						
Expenditure						
Maths club – teacher ar		£2300				
Sub-total budget of bud	geted cost	£2300				
Desired Outcome C	PPG Pupils improve oral language s	•				
Chosen action and	School Start to be piloted in Recept	•				
approach	enrichment throughout the school, i					
	classes across KS2 to enrich vocabu	•				
	capital – linking to the literacy curriculum. 1 day of					
	teacher time each week to focus on vocabulary and					
	cultural development					
Evidence and	Speech Language and Communica					
rationale	that a child's language ability at 5 is					
	reading ability at 7. Improvement of	-				
	vocabulary skills, with opportunities					
	language benefits reading and writ	• ,				
	improvement aim- but particularly the	ne PPG group)				



Implementation Staff leading	SLC intervention targeting Early year assessment tools purchased from the and Communication Trust will estable materials will establish language abit SLC intervention targeting KS2 pupils with pupils (1 day per week) to build offer targeted support and enrichmental such as theatre trips, for KS2 PPG public cultural capital KS2 studying Latin 1 x 30 mins a weed delivered by Classics for All. Inclusion Manager and Early years leading tools as the street of the	n the Speech Language stablish a base line ability. upils. Teacher to work build vocabulary and chment opportunities – G pupils to extend			
	teacher				
Review of implementation					
Expenditure					
Teacher time (1 day per	week)	£8262			
Latin training Free (Class charity)					
Latin teaching resources	S	Free (Classics for All charity)			
School Start		£100			
SLC assessment tools KS		£102			
Sub-total budget of bud	geted cost	£8464			
	Develop emotional literacy for PPG are ready to learn				
Chosen action and approach	Increase access to Social Emotional Interventions for PPG group				
Evidence and rationale	EEF Toolkit suggests that there is evidence that targeted social and emotional learning interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself				
Implementation	Pastoral Mentor 1 x 3.5 hours per we interventions – Drawing and Talking, Tummy				



	Forest School teacher to deliver intervention each Thursday afternoon -1x 3.5 hours per week to support emotional literacy, to build emotional resilience and build group work skills				
	Riverbank Trust works with pupils in school and families out of school Pupils are observed using strategies taught to remain calm and incidents of PPG pupil inappropriate behaviour reduces				
	Staff are observed using strategies the health of pupils enabling the pupils	•			
	Parents report that they are more e pupils with their emotional health				
Staff leading	Inclusion Manager / School Admin staff				
Review of implementation	Termly				
Expenditure					
Forest School teacher		£5193.45			
Pastoral Mentor		£2392			
	overs Summer and Autumn, will	£3900 (grant covers			
reapply – so possibly £0		Summer and Autumn)			
Sub-total budget of bud	geted cost	£11485.45			
	3				
Desired Outcome E	Parent engagement where 95% of eligible for PPG pupils have a struct a parent teacher meeting with their	ured conversation in			
Chosen action and	Making clear that high engagemen				
approach	at Holy Trinity, with an 'open door'	•			
	Being alert to patterns of poor engagement in their nurseries and addressing them as soon as they become concerned				
Evidence and	'Supporting children to maximise th	e impact of pupil			
rationale	premium' (Jan 2017) by Prof' Sonia	Blandford, a report			
	commissioned by DFE, found that 'i	mproved parental			
	engagement through structured co				
	impact area for raising pupil attainment.				
Implementation	Class teacher knows who all the PP				
	class and offers opportunities to me				
1	appointments additional to the routine parent mail.				
	appointments additional to the rou	tine parent mail.			



Staff leading	Inclusion Manager/ SLT	
Review of implementation	Termly following	
Expenditure		In school cost
Sub-total budget of k		
Total budgeted cost	for PPG 2019-20 and rolling forward	£51151.95

6. Additional Information – impact/data/performance

'The attainment of the disadvantaged pupils has improved over the last three years and the gaps in attainment with their class mates and other pupils nationally have narrowed considerably since 2012. The school's current assessment information and work in pupils' books confirm that disadvantaged pupils are making similar exceptional progress to their class mates across the year groups.' Ofsted Report July 2015. (Ofsted Inspection Dashboard Autumn 2015)

PPG Register 2018-19, March 2019										
Year	PPG	PPG SEN	PPG	Total PPG	Non SEN	Total PPG	Total In	% Total	% Non SEN	% Total
	EHCP	Support	SEN	SEN	PPG		Year	SEN PPG	PPG	PPG
			Monitoring							
N	-	-	-	-	1	1	52	0.0%	1.9%	1.9%
R	-	1	-	1	1	2	56	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%
1	-	1	1	2	4	6	59	3.4%	6.8%	10.2%
2	-	-	2	2	1	3	60	3.3%	1.7%	5.0%
3	-	1	1	2	6	8	59	3.4%	10.2%	13.6%
4	-	2	1	3	4	7	57	5.3%	7.0%	12.3%
5	2	2	2	6	1	7	58	10.3%	1.7%	12.1%
6	1	3	4	8	5	13	60	13.3%	8.3%	21.7%
Total Incl. Nursery	3	10	11	24	23	47	461	5.2%	5.0%	10.2%
Total exc. Nursery	3	10	11	24	22	46	409	5.9%	5.4%	11.2%

EYFS attainment data for Pupil Premium pupils in 2019

Context: 2 pupils with PPG

	GLD
Holy Trinity PPG pupils	50 %
Holy Trinity NON PPG pupils	72.7 %
National average NON PPG pupils	71.8 %



Year 1 phonics screening check data for Pupil

Premium pupils in 2019

Context: 6 pupils with PPG

	Met phonics screening check
Holy Trinity PPG pupils	83.3 %
Holy Trinity NON PPG pupils	77.6 %
National average Non PPG pupils	81.9 %

KS1 Attainment data for Pupil Premium pupils at end of Key Stage 1 at Expected or better (Year 2 2019)

Context: 60 Pupils in total, 3 PPG pupils, 0 PPG pupil had an EHCP

	Reading, writing & maths
Holy Trinity PPG pupils	66.6 %
Holy Trinity NON PPG pupils	77.7 %
National average NON PPG pupils	64.9 %

KS2 attainment data for Pupil Premium children at end of Key Stage 2 at Expected or better (Year 6 2019)

Context: 60 pupils in total, with 14 PPG pupils (3 of which on SEN support and 1 on SEN Monitoring), 1 PPG pupil with an EHCP

	Reading, writing & maths
Holy Trinity PPG pupils	71.4 %
Holy Trinity NON PPG pupils	91.1 %
National average NON PPG pupils	60 %